Approved For Release 2003/09/30 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230024-3

Ì		×
	Copy Y	25X1
	27 March 1963	
	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
	SUBJECT: Movement of the Satellite Control Center from OSA to DOD	
25X1A	on the subject of moving the satellite control center from CSA to the NRO in the Pentagon, as directed by the DNRO.	
	2. The following agreements were reached.	
	a. Because of the scheduling of Mission 9053, it will not be feasible or practical to make the move precisely on the 1st of April as directed. Therefore, CSA will control Mission 9653 as in the past, and the move will be implemented upon completion of this mission. The present Reports Control Manual will apply as written.	
25X1A	bwill send out messages to all cencerned confirming the above.	
	c. In the meantime, staff, with any assistance that CSA can provide, will rewrite the Reports Control Manual for subsequent missions.	25X1
	d. CIA communications and security assets will continue to be used on subsequent missions since no capability exists in the NRO central center.	
	e. Following the actual move, appropriate CPX's will be implemented to ensure that procedures and control are adequate after the exchange of responsibility from OSA to NRO.	

NRO review(s) completed.

Approved For Release 2003/09/30 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230024-3

Approved For Release 2003/09/30: CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230024-3

	Copy <u>4</u> Page 2	25X1A
f. The OSA staff has been instructe support to ensure that the move is made as ex	d to provide all possible	25X1A
and that particularly no capability is lost due to Executive Officer of OSA, has been designated for OSA, and as contact office	i as the contact officer or for the NRC control	
center, to ensure proper coordination and excuntil the new NRO control center is working as g. Following Mission 9653, weather	moothly.	
h. The NRO center will handle its c support.	wn staff intelligence	25X1A
3. Ramifications resulting from this more resolved in the future, are:	eve, which must be	
a. Satellite film procurement, proceetc.	cessing, distribution,	
b. Computer support to NRC contro	i center.	
c. Technical direction of payload pa	ackages.	ļ

JACK C. LEDFORD COLONEL, USAF Assistant Director (Special Activities)

AD/C6A:JCL:jr

Distribution:

Cy - AD/OSA

Cy 2 - DD/R

Cy 3 - OD/OSA

Cy 4 - 5B/08A

Cy 5 - CS/OSA

Cy 6 - DAD/OSA

Approved For Release 2003/09/30 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230024-3

25X1A

COR-2105 Copy 5 of 8

27 March 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR : Assistant Director, Office of Special Activities

SUBJECT

: Move of Satellite Control Center

i. Now that the decision to move the center has been made, I believe there are some subordinate decisions which are required in connection with the following topics, which have not been graded as to priority:

A. Communications: We need to determine whose communi-	
cations system will be employed in dealing with the West Coast.	1
It is fairly clear that on-orbit decisions will be made in the Pentagon	25X1A
and presumably transmitted in some fashion or	25X1A
other. If our system is not to be used to communicate	
or if the action location on the West Coast is going to move down to	
Los Angeles and SSD, we could presumably close out our center	
recapture a few people. Without seeming to	
be a dog in the manger. I question whether we should be the party	
to support this operation from a communications standpoint now	1
that control has gone to the Pantagon; this is a view which	25X1A
shares with me. On the other hand, I do not think we should	20/(1/(
prematurely deal ourselves out of the communications net entirely,	1
or we will find ourselves without any information whatever on the	
progress of decisions in the Washington OPCEN. Suggest this might	
well be discussed jointly between us with Ops and Commo, as well	
as Security, involved.	
	!
B. Security: has already indicated that he feels	Awar
OSA should back out of direct security support to the satellite opera-	
tion as far as couriers and operational support is concerned. If this	
were to happen, he could turn his responsibility in effect over to	
as the security officer responsible for making	1
recerminations as to the quarter from which direct security support	

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

COR-2105 Page 2

should come. In other words, rather than inflate staff, we would consider making satellite systems' support a responsibility of the Office of Security rather than OSA. This too needs discussion.

25X1A

25X1A

Knowing as little as we do about General Greer's intentions with regard to the role now that the locus of control has shifted, it is difficult to predict immediate future. We could continue him on our T/O, as we will I assume but it is fairly obvious that he will be one hundred percent subordinated to General Greex from a command point of view. I also think that if we cut it will be bad for his morals, and perhaps there are advantages to having a "window"

25X1A

25X1A

051/44

25X1A

into SSD at the operating level. This needs discussion.

D. Effect of Move of Control Center on EDP Programing: I am not certain what the move of the center will do to the plans for computer support discussed recently, i.e., moving an IBM 7090 into Langley. I gather from fragmentary conversations on the subject that without the satellite requirement we would have difficulty justifying full-time use of such a gigantic computer. The only other input I have on this is that Dr. Scoville mentioned last week making the NPIC UNIVAC 490 available to NRO. This whole subject has me completely baffled, since I thought that one of our strongest arguments for retention of the center was our intended possession of a computer capability. I wonder if we should also check with to see what actions need to be altered regarding the emplacement of computer repeater equipment in the Operations Center. I seem to recall that we were planning on an electronics link between the computer in the basement and the Operations Center to support CORONA/MURAL/LANYARD.

25X1A

E. Personnel Recruitment for Advance Systems Research:
John Parangosky feels, as you know, that this most recent decision makes it totally infeasible to recruit talented personnel into OSA for the sole purpose of researching new satellite systems which now seems to be the province of SSD and company. I tend to the view that

Approved For Release 2003/09/30 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230024-3

25X1A

COR-2105 Page 3

we need to surface this directly with DD/R before we go any further in terms which can be easily comprehended. I think there is nothing worse than getting technical people on board who would then find out that they had nothing to do. As far as I can tell, the Pentagon side of NRO has little intention of including CIA in any aspect of advance systems planning as evidenced by the studies which have been assigned to General Greer and the fact that there has been consistent resistance to including this kind of research money in our NRO budget. I also wonder if we ought not to take another look at the 1964 budget in the light of the move of the center to see what changes might be indicated.

2. I am sure there are other problems which will become apparent to us as this whole dismal picture moves ahead. I have a strong tendency to say "to hell with it", but I know that we cannot simply deal with this problem emotionally. I would appreciate the chance to discuss this with you in depth.

JAMES A. CUNNINGHAM, JR. Deputy Assistant Director (Special Activities)

Distribution:

- I AD/OSA
- 2 DAD/OSA
- 3 OD/OSA
- 4 CS/OSA
- 5 SB/OSA
- 6 ADMINIOSA
- 7 DD/OSA
- 8 RB/OSA

DAD/OSA: JACunningham, Jr. /mm